

<b>SOLICITATION/CONTRACT/ORDER FOR COMMERCIAL ITEMS</b>					1. Requisition Number 679/0001Z/08		PAGE 1 OF 40			
2. Contract No.		3. Award/Effective Date		4. Order Number		5. Solicitation Number HHM402-07-R-0019		6. Solicitation Issue Date Aug. 18, 2008		
7. For Solicitation Information Call:		a. Name Jennifer Sylvestre 202-231-1246				b. Telephone Number (No collect calls) (202) 231-1246		8. Offer Due Date/Local Time Sep. 5, 2008 / 4:00 P.M. EST		
9. Issued By  Virginia Contracting Activity ATTN: AE-2 Bolling AFB, Building 6000 Washington, D.C. 20050-5100		Code HHM402		10. This Acquisition is  <input type="checkbox"/> Unrestricted <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Set-Aside 100 % for  <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Small Business <input type="checkbox"/> HubZone Small Business  <input type="checkbox"/> 8(A) NAICS: - Size Standard: -		11. Delivery for FOB Destination Unless Block is Marked.  <input type="checkbox"/> See Schedule		12. Discount Terms		
15. Deliver To				Code HHP440		16. Administered By				
17a. Contractor/Offeror				Code		Facility Code		18a. Payment Will Be Made By		
Telephone No.				TIN:		Code				
17b. Check if Remittance is Different and Put Such Address in Offer. <input type="checkbox"/>						18b. Submit Invoices to Address Shown in Block 18a Unless Box Below <input type="checkbox"/> See Addendum.				
19. ITEM NO.		20. SCHEDULE OF SUPPLIES/SERVICES				21. QUANTITY		22. UNIT		
								23. UNIT PRICE		
								24. AMOUNT		
		<b><u>SEE SCHEDULE</u></b>								
25. Accounting and Appropriation Data						26. Total Award Amount (For Govt. Use Only)				
27a. Solicitation incorporates by reference FAR 52.212-1, 52.212-4, FAR 52.212-3 and 52.212-5 are <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> are <input type="checkbox"/> are not attached						27b. Contract/Purchase Order incorporates by reference FAR 52.212-4, 52.212-5 is <input type="checkbox"/> are <input type="checkbox"/> are not attached				
28. Contractor is required to sign this document and <u>2</u> copies to <sup>return</sup> Issuing Office. Contractor agrees to furnish and deliver all items set forth or otherwise identified above and on any additional sheets subject to the terms and conditions specified herein.						29. Award of Contract: Reference _____ Offer Dated _____. Your offer on Solicitation (Block 5), any additions or changes which are set forth herein, is accepted as to				
30a. Signature of Offeror/Contractor						31a. United States of America (Signature of Contracting Officer)				
30b. Name and Title of Signer (Type or Print)				30c. Date Signed		31b. Name of Contracting Officer (Type or Print)			31c. Date Signed	
32a. Quantity in Column 21 Has Been <input type="checkbox"/> Received <input type="checkbox"/> Inspected <input type="checkbox"/> Accepted, and Conforms to the Contract, Except as Noted: _____										
32b. Signature of Authorized Government Representative				32c. Date		32d. Printed Name and Title of Authorized Government Representative				
32e. Mailing Address of Authorized Government Representative						32f. Telephone Number of Authorized Government Representative				
						32g. E-mail of Authorized Government Representative				
33. Ship Number		34. Voucher Number		35. Amount Verified Correct For		36. Payment <input type="checkbox"/> Complete <input type="checkbox"/> Partial <input type="checkbox"/> Final		37. Check Number		
38. S/R Account Number		39. S/R Voucher Number		40. Paid By						
41a. I certify this account is correct and proper for payment						42a. Received By (Print)				
41b. Signature and Title of Certifying Officer				41c. Date		42b. Received At (Location)		42c. Date Rec'd (YY/MM/DD)		
						42d. Total Containers				

**SCHEDULE Continued**

Item No.	Supplies/Services	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Amount
	<b><u>Contract Type: Firm Fixed Price</u></b>				
	<b><u>Base Year: 30 September 2008 - 29 September 2009</u></b>				
000 1	Monthly Status Reports	12	EA		
000 2	Project Management Plan for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	12	EA		
000 3	Research Report for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	12	EA		
000 4	Comprehensive Integrated Threat Assessment	1	EA		
000 5	Travel	1	LT		
000 6	Other Direct Costs	1	LT		
000 7	<b><u>Option Year I: 30 September 2009 - 29 September 2010</u></b>	1	LT		
000 8	Monthly Status Reports	1	LT		
	Project Management Plan for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12				
000 9	Research Report for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	120	MH		
001 0	Comprehensive Integrated Threat Assessment	500 0	MH		
001 1	Travel	200 0	MH		
001 2	Other Direct Costs	200 0	MH		
001 3	<b><u>Option Year II: 30 September 2010 - 29 September 2011</u></b>	200 0	MH		
001 4	Monthly Status Reports	200 0	MH		
001 5	Project Management Plan for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	1	LT		
001 6	Research Report for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	1	LT		
	Comprehensive Integrated Threat Assessment				
001 7	Travel	120	MH		
001 8	Other Direct Costs	500 0	MH		
001 9	<b><u>Option Year III: 30 September 2011 - 29 September 2012</u></b>	200 0	MH		
002	Monthly Status Reports	200	MH		

### SCHEDULE Continued

Item No.	Supplies/Services	Quantity	Unit	Unit Price	Amount
0		0			
002 1	Project Management Plan for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	200 0	MH		
002 2	Research Report for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	200 0	MH		
002 3	Comprehensive Integrated Threat Assessment	1	LT		
002 4	Travel	1	LT		
	Other Direct Costs				
002 5	<b><u>Option Year IV: 30 September 2012 - 29 September 2013</u></b>	120	MH		
002 6	Monthly Status Reports	500 0	MH		
002 7	Project Management Plan for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	200 0	MH		
002 8	Research Report for Tasks 3.a.1. - 3.a.12	200 0	MH		
002 9	Comprehensive Integrated Threat Assessment	200 0	MH		
003 0	Travel	200 0	MH		
003 1	Other Direct Costs	1	LT		

ADDENDUM TO 52.212-1, INSTRUCTION TO OFFERORS – COMMERCIAL ITEMS (OCT 2000)

Paragraph (e), Multiple offers, is hereby deleted from this solicitation.

Paragraph (h), Multiple awards, is hereby deleted from this solicitation.

Add to paragraph (l), *Debriefing*. Offerors are reminded that the Government reserves the right to eliminate offerors from the competitive range, whereupon they may request and receive a debriefing in accordance with FAR 15.505. The CO will notify unsuccessful offerors in the competitive range of the source selection decision in accordance with FAR 15.506. Upon such notification, unsuccessful offerors as well as the successful offeror may request and receive a debriefing. Offerors desiring debriefing must make their request in accordance with the requirements of FAR 15.505 or 15.506, as applicable.

Add paragraph (m), *Preparation of Proposal*.

1. This section specifies the format that offerors shall use in this Request for Proposal (RFP). The intent is not to restrict the offerors in the manner in which they will perform their work, but rather to ensure a certain degree of uniformity in the format of the response for evaluation purposes.
2. The offerors's proposal, as a minimum, shall consist of past performance information, technical proposal, price proposal, and a signed SF 1449. The offeror shall acknowledge all amendments and complete the necessary fill-ins and certifications in provisions 52.212-3 and return in their entirety with proposals. The Government contemplates incorporating the price proposal and technical proposal into any resulting contract. The Government, at its discretion, may incorporate all or portions of an offeror's proposal at contract award.
3. The offeror's proposal shall provide convincing rationale to address how the offeror intends to meet these requirements. Offerors shall assume that the Government has no prior knowledge of their experience and will base its evaluation on the information presented in the offeror's proposal. The offeror is reminded that the Government reserves the right to award this effort based on the initial proposal, as received, without discussion.
4. The proposal must include all data and information requested by the Instructions To Offerors (ITO) and must be submitted in accordance with these instructions. The offer shall be compliant with the requirements as stated in Statement of Objectives (SOO). Non-conformance with the

instructions provided in this section may result in offeror's proposal being determined unacceptable.

5. The proposal shall be clear, concise, and shall include sufficient detail for effective evaluation and for substantiating the validity of stated claims. The proposal shall not simply rephrase or restate the Government's requirements, but rather shall provide convincing rationale to address how the offeror intends to meet these requirements.

## 6. Proposal Format and Content.

i. Paper, Page Size and Format. Page size shall be 8.5 x 11 inches. Pages shall be single-spaced. Use at least 1 inch margins on the top, bottom and side margins.

ii. Text Spacing and Type. Except for the reproduced sections of the solicitation document, the text size shall be no less than 12 point. Pages shall be numbered sequentially by section.

iii. Illustrations and Tables. Tables, charts, graphs, diagrams and figures shall be used wherever practical to depict organizations, systems and layout, implementation schedules, plans, etc. These displays shall be uncomplicated, legible and shall not exceed 8.5 x 11 inches. Foldout pages shall not be used. For tables, charts, graphs and figures, the text shall be no smaller than 10 point. Elaborate formats, bindings or color presentations are not required. Elaborate brochures or documentation, binding, detailed artwork, or other embellishments are not required and neither are they desired.

iv. Number of Copies/Page Limits. The offeror shall prepare the proposal as set forth in the Proposal Organization Table below. **"Original" proposals containing each volume shall be clearly marked "Original" and submitted in one e-mail as one package. The "copy" proposal containing each volume shall be clearly marked and submitted as a separate e-mail. The "copy" shall be sanitized of all company name and information that may reveal the identity of the offering company. Copy proposals shall not contain any illustrations, company logo, etc and shall be submitted on plain paper. All personnel names on resumes and past performance information relative to the offeror's identity shall also be redacted from the "copy" version.** The titles and contents of the sections shall be as defined in the table, all of which shall be within the required page limits and with the number of copies specified. When both sides of a sheet display printed material, it shall be counted as 2 pages. Pages exceeding this page limitation set forth will not be read or evaluated and will be removed from the proposal. Copy proposals not redacted properly will not be read or

evaluated and will be removed from competition. The contents of each proposal section are described as noted in the table below.

Volum e / Binde r	Title	Original plus # of Copies	Maximum Page Limit
I	Technical / Managemen t Capability  <b><u>Appendix:</u></b> Resumes	1 Original - Electronic Version (CD or E-mail)  <b>1 Redacted Copy - Electronic Version</b>	25 Pages          2 Pages per Individual
II	Relevant Past and Present Performance	1 Original - Electronic Version (CD or E-mail)  <b>1 Redacted Copy - Electronic Version (E-mail)</b>	10 Pages
III	Cost/Price	1 Original - Electronic Version (CD or E-mail)  <b>1 Redacted Copy - Electronic Version (E-mail)</b>	None

7. Distribution. Proposals shall be submitted by the following method:

**E-mail:** jennifer.sylvestre@dia.mil

8. Special Instructions.

i. Factor I - Technical Proposal Section

(A) Each page shall be affixed with the legend: "SOURCE SELECTION INFORMATION" - See FAR 3.104.

**(B) Factor - 1 Technical Capability.** The Technical Capability factor consists of the subfactors listed below. Offerors must be prepared to demonstrate their competencies in advanced aerospace research and development. The technical plan for conducting the advanced aerospace weapon system studies described in the SOO will be evaluated under this factor. The focus of this program is on breakthrough technologies and applications through the year 2050 that create discontinuities in currently evolving technology trends. The focus is not on extrapolations of current aerospace technology.

(a) Subfactor (1) Since this effort will encompass a broad range of highly technical disciplines, personnel with extensive experience (minimum of 10 years) in breakthrough aerospace research and development will be required to meet the demands of this program. The offeror should clearly identify their approach to obtaining the services of and their utilization of these scientific and technical experts. The offeror shall provide detailed resumes for proposed personnel in order to be considered for award. Resumes shall not exceed two (2) pages per individual.

(b) Subfactor (2) Offeror's ability to produce integrated finished assessments in each of the SOO-designated advanced aerospace weapon system technical areas. Finished reports and presentations, while highly analytical and technical, must be in a format suitable for dissemination at the highest levels of the federal government. That is, in addition to the main technical section of each assessment, an executive summary utilizing clearly understandable (non-technical) language must also be contained in each report. Two examples of previous studies or assessments shall be used to substantiate the offeror's capability to meet this subfactor.

**(C) Factor - 2 Labor Skill Sets.** The Labor Skill Sets factor consists of the subfactors listed below. Offeror must be prepared to demonstrate the technical competency of the personnel proposed via formal education and commensurate work experience.

(a) Subfactor (1) Offeror's ability to assign technical experts to each analytical team investigating the technical areas with a minimum of a Masters Degree (PhD strongly preferred) in their respective fields. A minimum of 10 years of work experience in a breakthrough area of aerospace research and development is expected. These technical experts must be able to synthesize information obtained from experts in diverse scientific disciplines to produce credible, in-depth analysis of advanced aerospace technological developments. At least one member of each analytical team must have a PhD, although that member can be on several teams.

(b) Subfactor (2) Offeror's ability to provide managerial personnel who have expertise in directing science or engineering research projects. The management personnel must include a lead integrator to oversee the

work of the various analytical teams, and to integrate their findings into final coherent products.

**(D) Factor - 3 Past/Present Performance.** The Past Performance factor consists of the subfactors listed below. The Past Performance factor will be used to determine the risk of non-performance, defective performance, and/or late performance. To assess relevant experience, offerors shall submit at least three (3) references, including a POC name and phone number, with a brief description of business relationship with the Government or other corporations.

(a) Subfactor (1) Offerors should provide examples that detail their experience in advanced research and development programs.

(b) Subfactor (2) Offerors should provide examples, conducted within the past 7 years, of having performed, as the prime contractor, analysis, design, construction, and flight testing of high performance aircraft and/or satellites. **This subfactor is a disqualifying requirement - if the offeror, as the prime contractor, has not conducted the design through flight testing of a high performance aircraft and/or satellite, please do not submit a proposal as it will not be considered for award.** Success in this program is dependent upon this capability and experience.

**(E) Factor - 4 Cost/Price.** (i) This factor will evaluate the cost proposal to determine price analysis and price reasonableness of the proposed total evaluated price.

(ii) An offeror's proposed price will be determined by multiplying the quantities identified in the Price Schedule by the proposed unit price for each contract line item number (CLIN) to confirm the extended amount for each CLIN. The base period and option years will be totaled to determine the overall estimated price to be evaluated. All responsible offers that conform to the solicitation requirements will be ranked according to price.

(iii) Offerors are specifically advised that, under this evaluation method, the government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the prices are unbalanced between initial and each option year. Prices are unbalanced if they are significantly overstated or understated in relation to the actual cost of the work. This may be grounds for eliminating a proposal from competition either on the basis that the offeror does not understand the requirement or the offeror has made an unrealistic proposal. By signing its offer, the offeror certifies that each price stated on each CLIN includes an appropriate apportionment of all costs, direct and indirect, overhead, and profit. Review of the offeror price data will consist of an analysis to determine the following:



(A) Price Analysis. All cost and financial information required by the RFP and amendment (s) there to have been submitted. One or more of the following techniques may be used to perform price analysis.

(1) Comparison of proposed prices received in response to the solicitation.

(2) Comparison if prior proposed prices and contract prices with current proposed prices received for the same or similar end items.

(3) Comparison with competitive published price lists, published market prices of commodities, similar indexes, and discount or rebate arrangements.

(4) Comparison of proposed prices with Independent Government Cost Estimates (IGCE).

(B) Price Reasonableness. Each proposal will be evaluated to determine whether the government considers the proposed price to be reasonable. The government will evaluate price reasonableness in relation to commercial or market price lists, IGCE, or any other information used as a basis or comparison.

(a) Options. The Government will evaluate offers for award purposes by adding the total price for all options to the total price for the basic requirement. The Government may determine that an offer is unacceptable if the option prices are significantly unbalanced. Evaluation of options shall not obligate the Government to exercise the option(s).

(b) A written notice of award or acceptance of an offer, mailed or otherwise furnished to the successful offeror within the time for acceptance specified in the offer, shall result in a binding contract without further action by either party. Before the offer's specified expiration time, the Government may accept an offer (or part of an offer), whether or not there are negotiations after its receipt, unless a written notice of withdrawal is received before award.

Add Paragraph (n), *Government Contract Files*, the Government will retain one copy of all unsuccessful proposals. Unless the offeror provides written request otherwise, the Government will destroy extra copies of such unsuccessful proposals. After contract award, unsuccessful proposals will be destroyed except for one copy, which will be retained with the contract file.

Add Paragraph (o), *Discussions*. The primary objective of discussion is to maximize the Government's ability to obtain best value, based on the requirement and the evaluation factors set forth in the solicitation. If discussions are necessary due to perceived weaknesses or other issues that must be addressed before award, the Government will evaluate all offeror's proposals in accordance with FAR 15.305(a) and establish the competitive range. Based on ratings of each proposal against ALL evaluation criteria, the Contracting Officer will establish the competitive range comprised of all of the most highly rated proposals. Discussions resulting from the competitive range determination will be conducted in writing as follows:

1. Written Discussions. Written discussions will be utilized by issuing Evaluation Notices (ENs). The Contracting Officer will issue ENs to offerors within the competitive range to communicate those areas of his or her proposal which are considered deficient, where weaknesses exist, or where other aspects of the offeror's proposal (such as cost, price, technical approach, past performance and terms and conditions) are significant enough to affect the selection decision and/or where data presented by the offeror is unclear.

2. Revision to Proposals. Any revisions to either the technical or price proposal shall have changed information clearly marked by a vertical line in the right margin of the page.

3. Final Proposal Revision. It is our intent to award a contract based upon initial proposals submitted without discussion. Offerors should therefore submit their best technical and price proposal initially. If discussions are determined to be necessary, the Contracting Officer will conduct discussions with only those offerors in the competitive range. Offerors are cautioned that changes or revisions at the final proposal revision stage may render a proposal unacceptable for award.

#### 52.212-2 EVALUATION--COMMERCIAL ITEMS (JAN 1999)

(a) The Government will select the best overall offer based on the Technical Capability, Past and Present Performance, Price and other factors considered. The Government will award a contract resulting from this solicitation to the responsible offeror whose offer, conforming to the solicitation, will be the most advantageous to the Government in accordance with the evaluation criteria outlined at FAR 52.212-2 of the solicitation, entitled "Evaluation-Commercial Items. The Government will evaluate proposals based on the following factors, listed in descending order of importance: Technical Capability, Labor Skill Sets, Past/Present Performance and Price. Technical capabilities, Labor Skill Sets and Past/Present Performance are more important than price. However, the Government will not make an award at a significantly higher overall cost to

achieve slightly superior technical and management features. The government seeks to award to the offeror who gives the greatest confidence, that will best meet or exceed the requirements affordably. A decision on the technical acceptability of each offeror's proposal shall be made. Only those offerors determined to be technically acceptable, either initially or as a result of discussions, will be considered for award. A rating of unacceptability in any factor will render the entire proposal unacceptable. The Government will determine technical acceptability as described by the evaluation factors. In making this decision, the Source Selection Authority (SSA) will integrate the Source Selection Team (SST) evaluations of the evaluation factors. The following factors shall be used to evaluate offers:

(1) EVALUATION PROCEDURES.

(i) Technical Capability and Labor Skill Set Factor and Subfactors Assessment: The offeror's proposal shall, at a minimum, address each of the factors and subfactors, as they apply to the Statement of Objectives (SOO). During evaluations of each proposal, the Government will assign each factor and subfactor shown below a rating and a written narrative reflecting the subfactor's strengths and weaknesses. The Government WILL NOT roll up subfactor ratings into an overall rating for technical capability.

The Technical Capability and Labor Skill Set Factors and Subfactors will be evaluated and rated according to the table below:

Table 1. **Technical Evaluation Rating Scale**

Rating	Description
Excellent	To receive this rating, proposed offer demonstrates a thorough understanding of the requirements; offers one or more significant advantages not offset by disadvantages. The Offeror has a very high probability of success in completing the requirements. All factors/sub factors must have received a rating of Excellent/Low Risk.
Good	To receive this rating, proposed offer demonstrates a good understanding of the requirements; offers one or more advantages not offset by disadvantages. The Offeror has a high probability of success in completing the requirements. All factors/sub factors must have received a rating of a least Good/Medium Risk.

Table 1. **Technical Evaluation Rating Scale**

Rating	Description
Satisfactory	To receive this rating, proposed offer demonstrates an acceptable understanding of the requirements; any advantages are offset by disadvantages. The Offeror has a moderate probability of success in completing the requirements. All factor/sub factors must have received a rating of at least Satisfactory/Medium Risk.
Unsatisfactory	To receive this rating, the proposal contains major errors, omissions or deficiencies or an unacceptably high degree of risk in meeting the Governments requirements; and these conditions can not be corrected without a major rewrite or revision of the proposal.
	<b>RISK RATINGS</b>
High Performance Risk	Likely to cause significant disruption to schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Risk may be unacceptable even with special contractor emphasis and close government monitoring.
Moderate Performance Risk	Can potentially cause some disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Special contractor emphasis and close Government monitoring will probably be able to overcome difficulties.
Low Performance Risk	Has little potential to cause disruption of schedule, increased cost or degradation of performance. Normal contractor effort and normal Government monitoring will probably be able to overcome difficulties.
Neutral	Insufficient relevant past performance information available.

(B) Past Performance Factor Assessment: During evaluation, the Government will assign each proposal one of the following overall past performance confidence assessment ratings and the definitions:

E - EXCEPTIONAL/HIGH CONFIDENCE - Based on the offeror's performance record, essentially no doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

V - VERY GOOD/SIGNIFICANT CONFIDENCE - Based on the offeror's performance record, little doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

S - SATISFACTORY/CONFIDENCE - Based on the offeror's performance record, some doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

M - MARGINAL/LITTLE CONFIDENCE - Based on the offeror's performance record, substantial doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

U - UNSATISFACTORY/NO CONFIDENCE - Based on the offeror's performance record; extreme doubt exists that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort.

N - NEUTRAL/UNKNOWN CONFIDENCE - Unable to provide a score. No performance record identifiable (see FAR 15.305(a)(2)(iii) and (iv)).

The Government will assign ratings through an integrated analysis of those risks and strengths the government identifies in the offeror's recent, present and relevant contract performance. The government will place more weight and consideration on more relevant and recent past performance information. Essentially, the past performance assessment is the Government's confidence, based on the offeror's performance record, that the offeror will successfully perform the required effort in accordance with the contract and its proposal.

(iii) Price Factor Assessment: The purpose of price evaluation is to determine if the price proposed is realistic for the work to be performed, reflects a clear understanding of the requirements, and is consistent with the unique methods of performance and materials described in the offeror's proposal. This factor will not receive a rating.

- A. The Government seeks proposals which offer a low risk achievable approach. Accordingly, a separate risk analysis will be conducted to evaluate the offerors capability to successfully execute its proposal to deliver on time a product meeting performance requirements

within proposed cost requirements using the resources identified. As a result of this evaluation, an overall risk coefficient will be assigned to the offerors proposal. The risk coefficient will be applied to the total weighted technical score. Risk shall be evaluated as low, medium, or high in accordance with the following criteria:

- B. Low - The offeror's proposal contains only a few minor risks, if any, for which alternatives are specifically identified which are considered achievable with little, if any, Government assistance. These risks will likely have little or no adverse impact on performance, schedule, or cost.
- C. Medium - The offeror's proposal contains numerous minor risks or a few major risks, for which alternatives are generally identified which are considered achievable with moderate Government assistance. It is likely these risks will have a moderate adverse impact on performance, schedule, or cost.
- D. High - The offeror's proposal contains numerous major risks for which alternatives are not identified or considered unachievable without significant Government assistance. The offeror's proposal is likely to have a major adverse impact on performance, schedule, or cost objectives.
- E. The proposed cost will be evaluated for cost realism. Cost realism pertains to the offeror's ability to project costs which are accurate reasonable and indicate that the offeror understands the nature of the work to be performed. A cost realism analysis also determines if the proposed cost conforms to the offeror's Technical Volume. As a result of its cost realism analysis, the Government may adjust, upward or downward, proposed cost considered to be unreasonable or unrealistic. The evaluated cost, for award purposes, shall equal the sum of: 1) the Government's evaluated cost as determined above; 2) the proposed base fee dollars; and 3) the full amount of proposed award fee dollars.
- F. III. BASIS FOR AWARD
- G. Award will be to that technically acceptable offeror whose proposal represents the best value to the Government. Offerors are advised that the Government seeks proposals which demonstrate the greatest technical ability at a reasonable, realistic and affordable cost based on the evaluation factors listed above. Evaluated cost will be compared to technical competence to determine the combination of the most probable cost and technical proposal that is most advantageous to the Government and award will be made on that bases. Evaluated cost shall receive less consideration than technical competence; however, the importance of evaluated cost may become the determinative factor in making an award. The

Government reserves the right to make an award to other than the lowest priced offeror or to other than the offeror with the highest technical score if the Contracting Officer determines that to do so would result in the best value to the Government.

ATTACHMENT 1 - Statement of Objectives

ATTACHMENT 2 - DD 254

ATTACHMENT 3 - Past Performance Questionnaire

# **ATTACHMENT 1**

## **STATEMENT OF OBJECTIVES FOR THE ADVANCED AEROSPACE WEAPON SYSTEM APPLICATIONS PROGRAM 18 July 2008**

**1. BACKGROUND:** The Acquisition Support Division (DWO-3) of the Defense Intelligence Agency (DIA) has the responsibility to provide guidance and oversight to the Department of Defense (DoD) acquisition process along with leveraging the DoD Intelligence Community to coordinate, produce and maintain projections of the future threat environment in which U.S. air, naval, ground, space, missile defense and information systems operate. In order to accurately assess the foreign threat to U.S. weapon systems, a complete as possible understanding of potential breakthrough technology applications employed in future aerospace weapon systems must be obtained.

**2. OBJECTIVE:** One aspect of the future threat environment involves advanced aerospace weapon system applications. The objective of this program is to understand the physics and engineering of these applications as they apply to the foreign threat out to the far term, i.e., from now through the year 2050. Primary focus is on breakthrough technologies and applications that create discontinuities in currently evolving technology trends. The focus is not on extrapolations of current aerospace technology. The proposal shall describe a technical approach which discusses how the breakthrough technologies and applications listed below would be studied and include proposed key personnel that have experience in those areas.

### **3. REQUIREMENTS:**

a) The contractor shall complete advanced aerospace weapon system technical studies in the following areas:

1. lift
2. propulsion
3. control
4. power generation
5. spatial/temporal translation
6. materials



7. configuration, structure
8. signature reduction (optical, infrared, radiofrequency, acoustic)
9. human interface
10. human effects
11. armament (RF and DEW)
12. other peripheral areas in support of (1-11)

b) It is expected that numerous experts with extensive experience (minimum of 10 years) in breakthrough aerospace research and development will be required to meet the demands of the above program. The offeror should clearly identify their approach to obtaining the services of and their utilization of these scientific and technical experts. Management personnel must include a lead integrator to oversee the work of the various analytical teams, and to integrate their findings into final coherent products. At least one member of each analytical team must have a PhD, although that member can be on several teams. A technical plan for conducting the advanced aerospace weapon system studies described above must be included in the offeror's proposal.

(c) Offeror must be able to produce integrated finished assessments in each of the above advanced aerospace weapon system technical areas. Finished reports and presentations, while highly analytical and technical, must be in a format suitable for dissemination at the highest levels of the federal government. In addition to the main technical section of each assessment, an executive summary utilizing clearly understandable (non-technical) language must also be contained in each report.

(d) To assess relevant experience, offerors shall submit at least three (3) references, including a POC name and phone number, with a brief description of the business relationship with the Government or other corporations. In addition, offerors should provide examples, conducted within the past 7 years, of having performed, as a prime contractor, the analysis, design, construction, and flight testing of high performance aircraft and/or satellites. Success in this program is dependent upon this capability and experience.

**4. PLACE OF PERFORMANCE:** The work under this contract shall be performed at the Contractor's facility.

**5. SECURITY REQUIREMENT:** Contractor company and personnel proposed shall be clearable and able to obtain a security and facility clearance upon award of the contract. All requirements specified in this SOO may be based on materials classified at or below Top Secret with access to Sensitive Compartmented Information (TS/ SCI). The contractor shall comply

with the terms and conditions of the DD-254 *BEFORE* initiating any classified effort. The contractor shall notify the COR and Contracting Officer immediately upon the determination that higher classification requirements exist in order to accomplish the specified requirements. The contractor shall ensure that each individual assigned to this contract shall be identified, cleared, and approved through DIA/DAC-3 *PRIOR* to granting that individual access to, or authority to generate classified information in association with this effort.

5.1. Contractor shall provide a work facility (including unclassified information systems) with a Top Secret Facility Clearance granted by the Defense Security Service (DSS). Cleared facilities are not required at contract inception. Offeror must provide personnel eligible for a Top Secret clearance level with further eligibility for SCI access. At least two personnel cleared at the Secret level are required at contract inception.

**6. GOVERNMENT FURNISHED PROPERTY/EQUIPMENT:** The Government will provide the contractor access to computers and peripherals such as printers as the Government deems necessary to access and produce classified information. These computers and peripherals remain the property of the Government.

**7. GOVERNMENT FURNISHED INFORMATION/DATA:** (U) Data required by the contractor to fulfill the terms of this contract shall be requested from the Government Project Lead (GPL). A good faith effort will be made to fulfill any legitimate request (as assessed by the GPL), however, the responsibility to collect information and data necessary for the conduct of this effort remains with the contractor. Any classified data provided by the Government in support of this contract will remain the property of the Government and will be returned by the contractor upon completion of the contract or the task for which data were provided.

**8. CONTRACTOR ACQUIRED / GOVERNMENT OWNED PROPERTY:** Any accountable property acquired by the contractor with government funds during the execution of this contract shall become government owned property. The contractor shall obtain written permission from the CO or Contracting Officer Representative (COR) prior to any purchase of real property with government funds. The contractor shall establish and maintain an inventory of all such property. This property must be inventoried and returned to the government not more than 60 days after the end of the contract.

**9. EQUIPMENT LEASE OR PURCHASE:**

The purchase of equipment is preferred, however, it may be more advantageous to the government to lease in certain circumstances. When it is contemplated a lease would be more advantageous to the government, contractor shall furnish the following information to the COR so the government can determine the best solution:

**HHM402-08-R-0211**

1. Estimated length of time the equipment will be used and the extent of use within that period
2. Financial and operating advantages of alternative types and makes of equipment
3. Cumulative rental payments for the estimated period of use
4. Net purchase price
5. Transportation and installation costs
6. Maintenance and other service costs

**10. TRAVEL:** It is anticipated that travel will be required for this contract. The Government will pay up to the rates specified in the government Federal Travel Regulations for travel destination. Travel reservations will be made by the contractor and will be reimbursed for actual costs only, with supporting documentation/receipts attached to the invoice.

**11. DELIVERABLES:** The contractor shall prepare the following deliverables:

a. Status Reports - monthly reports outlining accomplishments, milestones, and work in progress.

b. Project Management Plan - For each technical area, the team lead shall submit, at the 60 day point, an overview paper identifying the scope of the final report; a Plan of Action and Milestones, and the composition of the analytical team.

c. Research Reports - For each technical area, a comprehensive report and briefing forecasting potential advancements and their impact is to be submitted to the GPL by the end of the tenth month. An executive version of each report should also be submitted. Format will be specified by the GPL.

d. Integrated Threat Assessment - A final report and briefing that assesses the foreign threat from advanced aerospace platforms incorporating the technologies discussed in the individual research reports will be provided to the GPL at the end of the period of performance. An executive version of the report should also be submitted. Format will be specified by the GPL.

e. Resumes - The Contractor shall submit resumes of all key personnel proposed for this effort. The Government reserves the right to review resumes and qualifications prior to acceptance of individuals for assignment.

**12. PERIOD OF PERFORMANCE:** The term of this contract shall is anticipated to be 5 years which consists of a base year of 12 months from date of award plus four (4) 12 month option years.

**13. CONTRACT TYPE:** The contract shall be firm fixed priced (FFP).

**14. KEY GOVERNMENT PERSONNEL:** The Contracting Officer for this contract will be Jennifer Sylvestre, AE, (202) 231-1246. The COR and Government Project Leader will be Dr. James T. Lacatski.

## **15. DEFINED TERMS:**

Key personnel - defined as proposed management and lead science and engineering staff

Contracting Officer Representative (COR) - The government official appointed by the contracting officer and who is responsible for operations of the execution of the contract. The COR handles all aspects dealing with the interpretation and deliverables of the contract.

Contracting Officer - Procurement official who holds a contracting warrant and is authorized to obligate the government under the terms of a contract and make changes to the contract.

<b>DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE CONTRACT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION SPECIFICATION</b>  <i>(The requirements of the DoD Industrial Security Manual apply to all security aspects of this effort.)</i>				<b>1. CLEARANCE AND SAFEGUARDING</b> a. FACILITY CLEARANCE REQUIRED TOP SECRET  b. LEVEL OF SAFEGUARDING REQUIRED SECRET					
<b>2. THIS SPECIFICATION IS FOR:</b> (X and complete as applicable)				<b>3. THIS SPECIFICATION IS:</b> (X and complete as applicable)					
X	a. PRIME CONTRACT NUMBER (TBD)			X	a. ORIGINAL (Complete date in all cases)		Date (YYYYMMDD) 20080717		
	b. SUBCONTRACT NUMBER				b. REVISED (Supersedes all previous specs)		REVISION NO. Date (YYYYMMDD)		
X	c. SOLICITATION OR OTHER NUMBER HHM402-08-R-0211		DUE Date (YYYYMMDD)		c. FINAL (Complete Item 5 in all cases)		Date (YYYYMMDD)		
<b>4. IS THIS A FOLLOW-ON</b> <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO. If Yes, complete the following: Classified material received or generated under _____ (Preceding Contract Number) is transferred to this follow-on contract.									
<b>5. IS THIS A FINAL DD Form 254?</b> <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO. If Yes, complete the following: In response to the contractor's request dated _____, retention of the classified material is authorized for the period of _____.									
<b>6. CONTRACTOR</b> (Include Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code)									
a. NAME, ADDRESS, and ZIP CODE TBD				b. CAGE CODE TBD		c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE (Name, Address, and Zip Code) DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE (DSS) TBD			
<b>7. SUBCONTRACTOR</b>									
a. NAME, ADDRESS, AND ZIP CODE N/A				b. CAGE CODE N/A		c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE (Name, Address, and Zip Code) N/A			
<b>8. ACTUAL PERFORMANCE</b>									
a. LOCATION TBD				b. CAGE CODE N/A		c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE (Name, Address, and Zip Code) TBD			
<b>9. GENERAL IDENTIFICATION OF THIS PROCUREMENT</b> Advanced Aerospace Weapon System Applications									
<b>10. THIS CONTRACT WILL REQUIRE ACCESS TO:</b>				YES	No	<b>11. IN PERFORMING THIS CONTRACT, THE CONTRACTOR WILL:</b>		YES	NO
a. COMMUNICATIONS SECURITY (COMSEC) INFORMATION				X		a. HAVE ACCESS TO CLASSIFIED INFORMATION ONLY AT ANOTHER CONTRACTOR'S FACILITY OR A GOVERNMENT ACTIVITY		X	
b. RESTRICTED DATA				X		b. RECEIVE CLASSIFIED DOCUMENTS ONLY			X
c. CRITICAL NUCLEAR WEAPON DESIGN INFORMATION					X	c. RECEIVE AND GENERATE CLASSIFIED MATERIAL		X	
d. FORMERLY RESTRICTED DATA				X		d. FABRICATE, MODIFY, OR STORE CLASSIFIED HARDWARE			X
e. INTELLIGENCE INFORMATION						e. PERFORM SERVICES ONLY			X
(1) Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)					X	f. HAVE ACCESS TO U.S. CLASSIFIED INFORMATION OUTSIDE THE U.S., PUERTO RICO, U.S. POSSESSIONS AND TRUST TERRITORIES			X
(2) Non-SCI				X		g. BE AUTHORIZED TO USE THE SERVICES OF DEFENSE TECHNICAL INFORMATION CENTER (DTIC) OR OTHER SECONDARY DISTRIBUTION CENTER		X	
f. SPECIAL ACCESS INFORMATION					X	h. REQUIRE A COMSEC ACCOUNT			X
g. NATO INFORMATION					X	i. HAVE TEMPEST REQUIREMENTS			X
h. FOREIGN GOVERNMENT INFORMATION				X		j. HAVE OPERATIONS SECURITY (OPSEC) REQUIREMENTS			X
i. LIMITED DISSEMINATION INFORMATION				X		k. BE AUTHORIZED TO USE THE DEFENSE COURIER SERVICE			X
j. FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY INFORMATION				X		l. OTHER (Specify)			

k. OTHER ( <i>Specify</i> )					
-----------------------------	--	--	--	--	--

**DD FORM 254, DEC 1999**

PREVIOUS EDITION IS OBSOLETE.

**12. PUBLIC RELEASE.** Any information (classified or unclassified) pertaining to this contract shall not be released for public dissemination except as provided by the Industrial Security Manual or unless it has been approved for public release by appropriate U.S. Government authority. Proposed public releases shall be submitted for approval prior to release

☐ Direct ☒ Through (Specify)

the Contract Monitor / Contracting Officer Representative (CM/COR): **James T. Lacatski**, (703) 907-0599  
NO PUBLIC RELEASE OF SCI IS AUTHORIZED. Requests for other than SCI must be approved through the CM/COR and appropriate channels prior to release.

to the Directorate for Freedom of Information and Security Review, Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense (Public Affairs)\* for review.  
\* In the case of non-DoD User Agencies, requests for disclosure shall be submitted to that agency.

**13. SECURITY GUIDANCE:** The Security classification guidance needed for this classified effort is identified below. If any difficulty is encountered in applying this contributing factor indicates a need for changes in this guidance, the contractor is authorized and encouraged to provide recommended changes; to challenge the guidance or the classification assigned to any information or material furnished or generated under this contract; and to submit any questions for interpretation of this guidance to the official identified below. Pending final decision, the information involved shall be handled and protected at the highest level of classification assigned or recommended. (Fill in as appropriate for the classified effort. Attach, or forward under separate correspondence, any documents/guides/extracts referenced herein. Add additional pages as needed to provide complete guidance.)

THE CONTRACTOR WILL BE REQUIRED TO HAVE A TOP SECRET FACILITY CLEARANCE GRANTED BY THE DEFENSE SECURITY SERVICE (DSS) PRIOR CONTRACT IMPLEMENTATION.

SEE ATTACHED SECURITY ADDENDUM.

NOTE: The contractor Facility Security Officer (FSO) on file with DSS is: TBD.

If this information is not correct, the contractor must contact the DSS field office, (block 6.c) and update all contractor information as applicable.

**14. ADDITIONAL SECURITY REQUIREMENTS.** Requirements, in addition to ISM requirements, are established for this contract. ☒ Yes ☐ No  
(If Yes, identify the pertinent contractual clauses in the contract document itself, or provide an appropriate statement which identifies the additional requirements. Provide a copy of the requirements to the cognizant security office. Use Item 13 if additional space is needed.)

SEE ATTACHED SECURITY ADDENDUM

**15. INSPECTIONS.** Elements of this contract are outside the inspection responsibility of the cognizant security office. ☐ Yes ☒ No  
(If Yes, explain and identify specific areas or elements carved out and the activity responsible for inspections. Use Item 13 if additional space is needed.)

**16. CERTIFICATION AND SIGNATURE.** Security requirements stated herein are complete and adequate for safeguarding the classified information to be released or generated under this classified effort. All questions shall be referred to the official named below.

a. TYPED NAME OF CERTIFYING OFFICIAL  
CARLTON CONLEY

b. TITLE  
SECURITY OFFICER

c. TELEPHONE  
(703) 907-1299

d. ADDRESS (Include Zip Code)

DIA/DAC-2A  
3100 Clarendon Blvd.  
Arlington, VA 22201-5300

**17. REQUIRED DISTRIBUTION**

☒

a. CONTRACTOR

☐

b. SUBCONTRACTOR

☒

c. COGNIZANT SECURITY OFFICE FOR PRIME AND SUBCONTRACTOR

e. SIGNATURE

d. U.S. ACTIVITY RESPONSIBLE FOR OVERSEAS SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

HHM402-08-R-0211

**DD FORM 254 (BACK), DEC 1999**

X

e. ADMINISTRATION CONTRACTING OFFICER

f. OTHERS AS NECESSARY:



Security Addendum  
As Applied to Contract  
**Purchase Request No. 643/0003Z/07**  
Dated: 8 May 2025

1. The Director, DIA has exclusive security responsibility for all Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI); classified material released to or developed under the contract and held within the Contractor SCI Facility (SCIF). DoD 5105.21-M-1, (SCI Administrative Security Manual), DCID 6/1, DCID 6/3, DCID 6/4, DCID 6/6, DCID 6/7, DCID 6/9, National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM), DoD 5220.22-R (Department of Defense Industrial Security Program) and will comply with all regulations/manuals/directives stated therein which provide the necessary security & classification guidance for personnel, information, physical, AIS, and technical security measures and is a part of the SCI security specifications for the contract. Inquiries pertaining to SCI classification guidance determination or interpretations shall be directed to the Contracting Officer /Contracting Officer Representative (CO/COR) identified in paragraph (3), below.
2. Date to be completed: The base period of this contract shall be completed by 16 January 2008 or one year from date of contract award. The User Agency SCI security office will recognize this date as the completion date for the contract. The User Agency SCI security office will initiate action to debrief contractor personnel with access to this contract unless extensions or modifications to the contract are received by the User Agency SCI security office no later than 30 days after the established completion date. The contract shall contain option years; the DD Form 254 shall be updated with a revised completion date on an "as required" basis to reflect the Government's execution of an option year as they occur.
3. The name, telephone number, and address of the CO/COR for this contract is: Mr. James Lacatski, 703-907-0599, Defense Intelligence Analysis Center, Building 6000, Bolling AFB, Washington, DC 20340.
4. This contract requires frequent and continuing SCI accesses.
5. Contract performance is restricted to appropriately cleared contractor facility(ies) listed in Blocks 6.a. and 8.a., DD Form 254, or listed in Block 8a.
6. This contract **does** require access to SCI at U.S. Government installations.
7. The private use of classified information is not permitted except in furtherance of a lawful and authorized Government purpose.
8. If DD Form 254, Block 11f, is marked 'YES', the contractor requires access to classified information outside the U.S., Puerto Rico, U.S. Possessions and Trust Territories in the following cities and countries: CITY, COUNTRY.

9. SCI material associated with this contract will be separately stored and worked on only in facilities accredited through DIA/DAC-2A, or accredited through another Government agency and properly covered by a Co-Utilization Agreement (CUA).  
\* Contact DIA/DAC-2A for all SCIF related accreditation, (i.e. physical, TEMPEST) issues.
10. The contractor will establish and maintain a current access list of those employees working on the contract. A copy of this list will be furnished to the CO/COR, supporting SCI security office, and User Agency SCI security office.
11. Names of contractor personnel requiring access to SCI will be submitted to the CO/COR for approval. On written approval by the CO/COR, forms requesting Single Scope Background Investigations (SSBI) will be prepared in accordance with the National Industrial Security Program Operating Manual (NISPOM) and submitted to the User Agency SCI security office.
12. All contractor personnel nominated for access to classified information, (released or generated under this contract) must be U.S. citizens granted a final security clearance in accordance with DCID 6/4 by the Cognizant Security Authority (CSA), have a valid need-to-know, sign a nondisclosure agreement, and complete applicable security indoctrination's, prior to being given access. Immigrant aliens, interim-cleared personnel, or personnel holding contractor-granted CONFIDENTIAL clearances are not eligible for access to classified information released or generated under this contract without the express permission of the Director, DIA.
13. Security briefings will be in accordance with the NISPOM, and/or other appropriate directives, (DoD 5105.21-M-1, DoD TS-5105.21-M-2, and DoD TS-5105.21-M-3). In all cases, the employee will be briefed on his/her obligation to safeguard the information. The employee/contractor will be debriefed according to the applicable regulations when the access is terminated and/or no longer has the need-to-know.
14. As a further enhancement of security measures, for the protection of classified information, the Contractor agrees to permit necessary polygraph interviews on Contractor personnel having access to National Security Information (NSI) under this contract. It is understood that the polygraph interview will be limited to counterintelligence issues.
15. The Special Security Officer/Contractor Special Security Officer, (SSO/CSSO) will maintain records, by name and title, of all employees and authorized visitors who have access to the classified and/or intelligence material. The SSO/CSSO will confirm that all employees/visitors are appropriately cleared and authorized, prior to gaining access to the material.
16. The contractor will inform the CO/COR and the User Agency SCI security office at least 20 working days in advance of any business visits that will involve the passing of access from one location to another. (Note: Emergency exceptions will be handled on a case-by-case basis.) The CO/COR will certify need-to-know and approve passing of clearances by User Agency SCI security offices.
17. The government activity will provide security and classification guidance for the performance of this contract. The highest level of classification for the contract is TOP SECRET.
18. ALL MATERIALS GENERATED BY THE CONTRACTOR (including but not limited to correspondence, drawings, models, mockups, photographs, schematics, status,

progress, and special reports) will be classified according to its own content and/or by special instructions issued by the CSA, Contracting Officer or his/her duly appointed representative.

19. All classified information received or generated in performance of this contract is the property of the U.S. Government and shall be safeguarded, classified, (according to the marking shown on the source material) and returned to the U.S. Government in accordance with the DoD 5105.21-M-1 and/or other applicable documents.
20. Special instructions and controls for the handling, processing, storing, and transmission of classified information and material are provided in the appropriate regulations, manuals, or directive. The documents are identified as follows: DIAM (58-Series Manuals) and DIA Desk Reference Guide to Executive Order 12958.
21. SCI with restrictive caveats will be released to the contractor only when the originator's approval has been obtained. All other SCI may be released to the contractor on approval by the CO/COR. The contractor will not release SCI to any personnel without prior approval from the CO/COR.
22. NO PUBLIC RELEASE OF SCI IS AUTHORIZED. The contractor will not make any reference to SCI access, even unclassified acronyms, in any public publication. Requests for release for other than SCI, (CLASSIFIED or UNCLASSIFIED) must be approved by DIA/PO-PA (Public Affairs) through the CO/COR.
23. Any SCI released to the contractor in support of this contract remains the property of the Military Department, agency, or organization that releases it. The contractor will maintain a record of all SCI released to his custody and on completion or cancellation of the contract, must return all such materials in accordance with disposition instructions of the CO/COR unless a follow-on contract specifies that the material will be transferred to a follow-on contract or the CO/COR approves retention of the material. This retention must be in accordance with approved procedures, to include a final DD Form 254.
24. The contractors will not release classified and/or intelligence material to any activity, employee, or other person not directly engaged in providing under this contract unless specific written authorization for such release is received from the CO/COR. This prohibition precludes release without written authority to another contractor or subcontractor, Government agency, private individual, or organization.
25. Unclassified information released or generated under this contract will be restricted in its dissemination to contractor and Government personnel involved in the contract. Release in open literature or exhibition of such information is strictly prohibited without permission of the CO/COR.
26. Intelligence material, whether or not bearing control markings, will not be released to foreign visitors, foreign nationals, or immigrant aliens regardless of their position or level of their security clearance, except with the specific permission of the originating agency.
27. Electronic processing of SCI must be accomplished on equipment accredited in accordance with DoD 5105.21-M-1, DIAM 50-4, DCID 6/3, and the Joint DoDIIS Cryptologic SCI Information Systems Security Standards.

28. The contractor will control and account for reproduced SCI related material in the same manner as pertains to the originals. Markings will be in accordance with DoD 5105.21-(M-Series) Manuals.
29. If the contractor requires the construction of a Sensitive Compartmented Information Facility (SCIF), the contractor is required to submit a copy of DCID 6/9, Annex A, Fixed Facility Checklist (FFC), and DoD 5105.21-M-1, Appendix J, TEMPEST Addendum to the FFC, to DIA/DAC-2A for review and approval prior to initiating construction. If the contractor possesses an accredited SCIF where the work will be accomplished, the contractor must contact DIA/DAC-2A to determine if a Co-Utilization Agreement (CUA) is required or other documentation is necessary. If the contractor possesses a previously accredited SCIF, the contractor will submit the FFC and TEMPEST Addendum to the FFC to DIA/DAC-2A for review and approval. In all cases, the SCIF must be accredited prior to the introduction of Sensitive Compartmented Information.
30. The contractor will not make any modifications to a DIA accredited SCIF without first consulting with DIA/DAC-2A, submitting appropriate page changes to the FFC and TEMPEST Addendum to the FFC, and obtaining from DIA/DAC-2A, in writing, approval for such modifications. Modifications include but are not limited to expanding or decreasing SCIF perimeter size, changes to the Intrusion Detection System, changes to the telephone system, relocating the SCIF, installing doors, installation of any transmitter devices (including RF alarm systems), or any other action which could affect the physical security or Inspectable Space Determination (TEMPEST) of the SCIF. Minor modifications, such as sub-dividing a room within a SCIF to form two rooms, may be reported after the fact.
31. Prior to any processing, the contractor will comply with obtaining and receiving Automated Information Systems (Computer) accreditations with DIA/SY as outlined in DCID 6/3 and as may be supplemented.
32. The contractor will reference DoD 5105.21-M-1 for initial guidance on TEMPEST requirements. Contact the DIA/DAC-2A TEMPEST Team for more information regarding specific TEMPEST requirements and Inspectable Space Determination.
33. If the contractor is required to utilize any wireless transmitter devices, to include radio frequency (RF) or infrared (IR) to support this contract, the contractor must contact DIA/DAC-2A and identify the device(s), the planned use purpose or scope of the devices, respond to requests for additional information concerning such devices, and comply with TEMPEST guidelines identified by DAC-2A.
34. If the contractor is utilizing any encryption to transmit any classified information, the encryption must have prior approval of the National Security Agency (NSA). Use of commercially available encryption is prohibited for the transmission of any classified material.
35. The contractor will comply with DIA policy, and policy as may be revised, regarding the use of Personal Electronic Devices (PED) within DIA accredited spaces. Should the contractor be within spaces belonging to another agency (host), the contractor will comply with the host requirement. A PED is any electronic device that receives, transmits, stores, processes, records audio/visual, scans, or otherwise is capable of manipulating information in any form. A PED includes but is not limited to cellular telephones, cameras, pocket scanners, voice recorders, pagers, and computers.

36. The contractor will reference DoD 5205.2 "DoD Operations Security Program," for guidance on operations security (OPSEC) requirements.
37. Except in the case of an emergency, the contractor will not allow another program either SCI or collateral, to co-utilize the SCIF without the establishment of a Co-Utilization Agreement (CUA). The CUA must be reviewed and approved by DIA/DAC-2A prior to the introduction of the outside agency personnel or material. In the case of an emergency, the contractor must contact DIA/DAC-2A the next duty day.
38. Transporting of SCI on commercial aircraft is only authorized by an exception waiver issued by the User Agency Senior Intelligence Officer (SIO).
39. Classified and/or intelligence related material released to or generated by the contractor may be destroyed locally by the contractor. Such destruction will be in accordance with the applicable regulations: DoD 5105.21-M-1, DoD TS-5105.21-M-2, and DoD TS-5105.21-M-3 or Chapter 5, Section 7, NISPOM, utilizing destruction procedures, devices, methods, or equipment approved by the National Security Agency.
40. By virtue of access to SCI and/or intelligence material, contractor employees may have restrictions placed on them for foreign travel in or through designated countries or geographic areas. The contractor shall be responsible for exercising adequate supervision to assure that employees are willing to comply with notification requirements for anticipated and completed foreign travel.
41. The contractor is authorized direct communications with the designated CO/COR and the User Agency SCI security office in all matters pertaining to SCI requirements. The CO/COR identified in paragraph (3), above, will receive a copy of any correspondence, which may have an impact upon the contractor's ability to perform under this contract.
42. On receipt of any SCI that impacts the cost of the contract, the contractor will inform the CO/COR, who, in turn, will notify the Contracting Officer/Activity prior to expending additional funds. The User Agency SCI security office will receive a copy of this cost modification as well.
43. The contractor is governed by DOD 5220.22-S COMSEC Supplement to the NISPOM. Access to COMSEC material is restricted to U.S. citizens holding a final U.S. Government clearance. Such information is not releasable to personnel holding only reciprocal clearances. The COMSEC account will be under control of OSAGWI/MR/MD Component Security Manager. A COMSEC account is not required for contractor access. The contractor will handle COMSEC keying material up to and including the TOP SECRET/Sensitive Compartmented Information level. Work with COMSEC equipment and material will be by direction of the government agent. Contractor must comply with DIA/SY guidelines.
44. If the contractor requires access to Restricted Data (RD), Critical Nuclear Weapons Design Information (CNWDI), and/or Formerly Restricted Data (FRD) which is classified, controlled and protected under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, then the contractor personnel must have a final 'O' clearance issued by the Department of Energy (DOE) prior to access. Additionally, DOE may require a 'O' facility clearance or equivalent, as determined by the DOE. Additional briefings may be required as determined by DOE and DAC-3C. Access to RD, CNWDI, and/or FRD must be



coordinated through DIA/DAC-3C in conjunction with DOE. These requirements may be in addition to other requirements outlined within this Security Addendum.

45. If the contractor requires access to North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) information then special briefings are required for access to NATO information, and then access to classified NATO information requires a final U.S. Government clearance at the TOP SECRET level for this project. Access to this information will be authorized at the location identified in Block 8a. Contractor personnel shall be debriefed by the Government program manager prior to departure from this contract.
46. If the contractor is required access to Special Access Information, then special briefings are required for each individual program. Access to Special Access Information requires a final U.S. Government clearance at the TOP SECRET level or as identified by the Special Program Manager for each, appropriate, Special Access Program. The contractor must contact the Special Access Program Manager for each program, through the CO/COR, for authorization and access to such material.
47. If the contractor requires access to Foreign Government Information (FGI), then access to FGI requires a final U.S. Government Facility Clearance at the level required for this contract. Access to FGI will be granted on a case-by-case basis at the locations identified in DD 254, Block 8a. FGI will be protected, handled, disseminated and stored as the U.S.
48. For Official Use Only (FOUO) Information will be safeguarded as specified in DoD 5400.7R "Protecting FOUO Information" and as may be supplemented by DIA.
49. If the contractor requires use of the Defense Courier Service (DCS), then the contractor, through the CO/COR, must contact DCS, Fort Meade, MD, to establish a DCS account.
50. Subcontracting this contract or any portion thereof of this contract requires the contractor to sponsor the subcontractor and be approved by the CO/COR. The subcontractor must complete a separate DD 254. Additionally the subcontractor must have a final facility clearance issued by Defense Security Service (DSS) or other U.S. Government agency authorized to issue equivalent clearances.
51. If the contractor requires the services of the Defense Technical Information Center (DTIC), then the contractor, through the CO/COR must submit DD Form 1540, Registration for Scientific and Technical Information Services, and/or DD Form 2345, Military Critical Technical Data Agreement, as may be applicable, to the address on the form(s).
52. Contractors are prohibited from having access to "PROPRIETARY INFORMATION" (abbreviated PROPIN or PR), and "DISSEMINATION AND EXTRACTION OF INFORMATION CONTROLLED BY ORIGINATOR (abbreviated ORCON) unless in compliance with one of the following:
  - A. The originating agency of the PROPIN and ORCON provides written consent to the accessing contractor, and the accessing contractor agrees in writing with the originating agency not to divulge, use, or otherwise release PROPIN and ORCON. The originating agency of the PROPIN and ORCON is responsible for identifying and marking PROPIN and ORCON. The CO/COR is responsible for identifying marked PROPIN and ORCON information within their control and initiating the request and release documentation between the originating agency owning the PROPIN and

ORCON and the accessing contractor. If the originating agency of the PROPIN and ORCON fails to or denies access to the accessing contractor, or if the accessing contractor fails to or does not agree to not to divulge, use, or otherwise release the PROPIN and ORCON, then the CO/COR is responsible for denying PROPIN and ORCON access until the appropriate documentation has been completed.

Contractors who intentionally obtain access to PROPIN and ORCON without the required documentation, may be subject to civil and criminal liabilities and penalties as provided by law. US Government employees who release PROPIN and ORCON, whether intentionally or accidentally, to unauthorized contractors, are subject to civil and criminal liabilities and penalties as provided by law, or

B. A US Government employee (military or civilian) is on-site, when contractor access to PROPIN or ORCON is possible or available.

53. If the contractor requires access to JWICS/INTELINK at the contractor site, the contractor must establish a COMSEC account with NSA prior to installation. The contractor through the CO/COR, must submit a concept of operations to JWICS PMO and SYS-4 for review and approval prior to installation and connectivity. DIA retains full responsibility for the secure operation of the connectivity, and protection of classified material on the system. The CO/COR will ensure that the concept of operations includes how access to all Proprietary Information (PROPIN), ORCON, GAMMA, Law Enforcement Sensitive (LES), and other special program materials are secured to preclude contractor access to this material. See Paragraph 52, above.
54. Contractors who have TOP SECRET/SCI access may have unescorted access to a government facility, including Government Owned Contractor Operated (GOCO) facilities, and may be permitted to work alone inside the facility without the requirement for the presence of a U.S. Government employed representative provided all PROPIN, ORCON, GAMMA, SIOP, CNWDI, Law Enforcement Sensitive (LES) and other special program materials are secured to preclude contractor access to this material, or as outlined in Paragraph 52, above.
55. The contractor is governed by DCID 6/4, 'Personnel Security Standards and Procedures Governing Eligibility for Access to Sensitive Compartmented Information (SCI)' and as may be supplemented by DIA. Although contractor personnel may be eligible for access to SCI or currently possess an SCI personnel security clearance with another non-DIA agency, contractor personnel performing on this contract must be adjudicated by DIA/DAC-3 prior to having access to SCI information retained by DIA, unescorted access to DIA spaces, and receipt of a DIA issued contractor badge. The contractor will identify in writing, contractor personnel assigned to this contract by NAME, SSN, Date of Birth and Place of Birth, and provide this documentation to the CO/COR identified in paragraph 3, above. The CO/COR will forward a copy of this documentation to DIA/DAC-3 for adjudication. This documentation will be marked and protected under the Privacy Act of 1974.
56. The contractor must comply with Foreign Ownership, Control or Influence (FOCI) restrictions and notifications as outlined in the NISPOM.
57. If the contractor identified in DD 254, Block 6a, Block 7a or Block 8a is identified as a being under FOCI by Defense Security Service (DSS), a favorable National Interest Determination (NID) must be completed as outlined within the NISPOM prior to the contractor being awarded the contract and having access to PROSCRIBED INFORMATION. PROSCRIBED INFORMATION includes COMSEC,

Sensitive Compartmented Information, Critical Nuclear Weapons Design Information, Restricted Data, Formerly Restricted Data, TOP SECRET, Special Access Programs, other classified information, and other Executive Branch Departments and Agencies for classified information under the cognizance of such.

58. The contractor must have a FINAL TOP SECRET FACILITY CLEARANCE prior to having access to BYE and HCS Sensitive Compartmented Information, and to RESTRICTED DATA, CRITICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS DESIGN INFORMATION.
59. The contractor must have a valid need-to-know as determined by the CO/COR prior to having access to LAW ENFORCEMENT SENSITIVE (LES) information. A facility clearance is not required.
60. The contractor may introduce but is not authorized to activate or use any wireless transmission devices within any DIA facilities or any DIA accredited facilities without first receiving written permission from DAC-2A. Military Departments, Major Commands, Combatant Commands, Senior Intelligence Officers, and local Special Security Officers or Contractor Special Security Officers may require more stringent standards which must be complied with. If the contractor is located within another agency's facility or accredited facility, the contractor will comply with the other agency's policies regarding the introduction, activation or use of any such device. *The Director, National Intelligence (DNI) may by policy, directive or other means, bar the introduction of any wireless transmission device into any SCIF area after the date of this contract. Should this occur the DNI policy will automatically supercede this paragraph and if the wireless device is still required to be introduced into the SCIF area, justification and a waiver request must be submitted to DAC-2A for a determination.*
61. Contractors who anticipate a change of name and/or ownership, must notify the CO/COR in writing upon consideration of the proposed change. Changes may affect facility clearances which may affect continuance of the contract.
62. A security review of this DD Form 254 is required during the different stages of the contract or any revision of this contract. The CO/COR will provide the contractor with applicable changes in security requirement(s) by issuing a revised DD Form 254.

NOTE: CONTRACTORS, PLEASE GO THROUGH YOUR CONTRACT MONITOR/CONTRACTING OFFICER REPRESENTATIVE (CO/COR) PRIOR TO CONTACTING THE OFFICES BELOW.



### ATTACHMENT 3: PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONNAIRE

**SECTION A: Contractor Information** (to be completed by the contractor prior to mailing or faxing to respondent)

1. Contractor's Title, Name and Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. Point of Contact: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Phone Number( with area code: \_\_\_\_\_
4. Contract Number: \_\_\_\_\_
5. Description / Explanation of contract services provided:  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**SECTION B: Respondent Information:** (To be completed by Respondent, i.e., Commercial Sector or Government)

1. Respondent's Title, Name and Address: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_
2. Phone Number (with area code: \_\_\_\_\_
3. Contract Specifics:
  - a. Contract Number: \_\_\_\_\_
  - b. Contract Type ☐ Firm-Fixed ☐ Price Indefinite Quantity ☐ Task Order ☐ Cost-Reimbursement
  - c. Start Date / End Date: \_\_\_\_\_
  - d. Original Contract Amount: \_\_\_\_\_
  - e. Current Contract Value: \_\_\_\_\_
  - f. Estimated Value at Completion: \_\_\_\_\_

**Please fax or e-mail the completed and signed questionnaire ASAP to:**

Virginia Contracting Activity
ATTN: Jennifer Sylvestre, Contracting Officer

Phone: (202) 231-1246
Fax: (202) 231-2831
E-mail: <a href="mailto:jennifer.sylvestre@dia.mil">jennifer.sylvestre@dia.mil</a>

## **ADVANCED AEROSPACE WEAPON SYSTEM APPLICATIONS PROGRAM PAST PERFORMANCE EVALUATION OFFEROR'S REFERENCE QUESTIONNAIRE**

1. Complete this questionnaire using the grading system explained below. Your assessment should only consider performance for which the contractor is responsible and accountable. Each offeror shall be assigned one the following ratings:

**E** EXCEPTIONAL/HIGH CONFIDENCE - Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds many (requirements) to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with few minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were highly effective.

**V** VERY GOOD/SIGNIFICANT CONFIDENCE - Performance meets contractual requirements and exceeds some (requirements) to the Government's benefit. The contractual performance of the element being assessed was accomplished with some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor were effective.

**S** SATISFACTORY/CONFIDENCE - Performance meets contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element being assessed contains some minor problems for which corrective actions taken by the contractor appear or were satisfactory.

**M** MARGINAL/LITTLE CONFIDENCE - Performance does not meet some contractual requirements. The contractual performance of the element being assessed reflects a serious problem for which the contractor has not yet identified corrective actions or the contractor's proposed actions appear only marginally effective or were not fully implemented.

**U** UNSATISFACTORY/NO CONFIDENCE - Performance does not meet most contractual requirements and recovery is not likely in a timely manner. The contractual performance of the element being assessed contains serious problem(s) for which the contractor's corrective actions appear or were ineffective.

**N** NOT APPLICABLE/UNKNOWN CONFIDENCE - Unable to provide a score. Performance in this area not applicable to effort assessed.

2. Please circle the rating, the appropriate letter, for each item on the questionnaire, which most accurately describes the contractor's performance. Provide frank, concise comments or supporting narrative explanations in the spaces provided. When responding to the descriptive statements, select the answer which most accurately describes the contractor's performance or situation. You may use the back of the questionnaire if additional space is needed. If more space is required, continue on the back of this form.

### **PAST PERFORMANCE QUESTIONS:**

a. Quality of services received were satisfactory. Any dissatisfaction, please explain.

E V S M U N

b. Contractor met established work schedules to complete all performance requirements. Yes/No What were the causes of any schedule variances? (explain)?

E V S M U N

c. Was money deducted for services not received? If yes, please explain

d. Has the necessary experience and knowledge of the training and training evaluation support industry? (Yes/No) Explain any negative comments.

e. Has the necessary manpower to perform during the initial start-up period and has performed in an efficient and timely manner.

E V S M U N

f. Provided the necessary manpower to perform.

E V S M U N

h. Adequately complied with all Federal and mandated environmental/hazard requirements.

E V S M U N

i. Provided all reports required by your contract in a timely manner.

E V S M U N

j. Identified problems in advance and when necessary, took positive and effective corrective actions.

E V S M U N

k. Offeror provided effective Quality Control/Inspection procedure

E V S M U N

l. Offeror has a history of recurring problems? (Yes/No) If yes, please explain.

m. Met terms of the contract and within the contractual price.

E V S M U N

n. Responded timely and professionally to your needs in performing emergencies responses.

E V S M U N

o. Responsive to the quality program by identifying, correcting, and preventing initial and recurring contract discrepancies.

E V S M U N

p. How well did the contractor safeguard, track and account for Government Furnished Property?

E V S M U N

q. List the contractor's documented strength in performing the contract requirements and rate them.

r. List the contractor's top documented weaknesses in performing the contract requirements and rate them.

E V S M U N

s. Is there any indications that the contractor has financial concerns that would jeopardize contract performance? (If YES, please explain in "Remarks" section)

E V S M U N

---

---

---

---

**t. Does the contract manager have sufficient authority to make decisions and take actions necessary to ensure services were performed?**

E	V	S	M	U	N
<hr/>					
<hr/>					
<hr/>					

**u. Were there any problems with employees or subcontractors payments? Yes/No Explain any negative response.**

---

---

---

**v. Submit changes to the schedule due to manning shortages or broken equipment.**

E	V	S	M	U	N
<hr/>					
<hr/>					
<hr/>					

**w. Any replacement in contract managers during the duration of the contract period of performance? Yes/ No If yes, please explain.**

---

---

---

**x. Was it ever elected not to exercise an option due to the contractor's poor performance?Yes/No If yes, please explain.**

---

---

---

---

**y. Any reservations in awarding another contract to the offeror? Yes/No If yes, please explain.**

---

---

---

---

**z. Select one rating which most accurately describes the contractors' overall performance on your contract.**

E                      V                      S                      M                      U                      N